

## Notes on $Z_N$ dyons

Thanks for your comments. I will refer first to the mathematics which we have read, and then secondly to the physics argument.

In terms of mathematics, I think the relevant reference is the article by S. Sedlacek in Comm. Math. Phys. 86, 515 (1982). In particular, we want to consider bundles with nontrivial obstruction. The obstruction,  $\eta$ , is defined using Čech cohomology. It is an element in  $H^2(M, \pi_1(G/H))$ . This is the *second* cohomology group, which in your analysis didn't enter at all. For a pure gauge theory, with  $G/H = SU(N)/Z(N)$ ,  $\pi_1(G/H) = Z(N)$ .

Sedlacek refers to the obstruction  $\eta$  as being a condition which Taubes needs to vanish, and which is related to 't Hooft's "twist".

In his theorem 2.4, Sedlacek classifies eta for  $G = SO(N)$  and  $U(N)$ . He does *not* do  $SU(N)$ . (In the second to last sentence of his paper, in the Appendix, Sedlacek does refer to  $\eta = H^2(M, Z(N))$ , but we don't understand the rest of the discussion.)

So let us consider  $SO(3)$ . Then  $\eta$  is equal to the second Stiefel-Whitney class of the vector bundle.

The same cohomology group arises in the discussion of Avis and Isham which I faxed you. In their eq. (4.22), they have the classifying exact sequence:

$$\rightarrow H^4(M; \pi_3(G/H)) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_G(M) \rightarrow H^2(M; \pi_1(G/H)) \rightarrow 0. \quad (1)$$

While there is the  $H^4$  on the left, there is also a  $H^2$ , identical to that of Sedlacek, on the right. Avis and Isham also consider the case of  $SO(3)$ , and in (4.64) derive the "well-known" constraint that the Pontryagin number, mod 2, equals the square of the second Stiefel-Whitney class. By eq. (4.81), Avis and Isham mention the case of  $SU(3)/Z(3)$ , but they claim the embedding is into  $SO(8)$ . This doesn't sound right to me.

What about physics? Well it is known, from both 't Hooft and from van Baal, that in a finite box, that the second Chern class is not integral, as for an instanton, but comes in fractions of  $1/N$ .

Now you say this is special to a box. I demur. Consider a box, with all three sides equal. I can construct a configuration in which there is a unit of topological charge  $= 1/N$ . This will be asymmetric, with the flux running through the  $x$  direction, say.

But why don't I just take radial boundary conditions? That is, I construct a configuration with nontrivial  $A_0$  and  $A_i$  at infinity. The boundary conditions can be read off by requiring that the configuration carry nontrivial radial  $Z(N)$  magnetic charge, and that it carry a twist in  $A_0$ .

For  $A_0$ , at  $r = \infty$  we choose

$$A_0 = \frac{2\pi T}{N} \mathbf{k}. \quad (2)$$

Here  $\mathbf{k}$  is a diagonal  $SU(N)$  matrix related to  $Z(N)$  transformations. I choose elements of  $\vec{k}$  to be integral, for convenience. Then the only two choices are

$$\mathbf{c}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{N-1} & 0 \\ 0 & -(N-1) \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad \mathbf{c}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{N-2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -(N-1) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3)$$

For  $\mathbf{k}$  equal to either  $\mathbf{c}_i$ , it is clear that the Wilson line in the imaginary time direction,

$$\Omega = \exp \left( i \int_0^{1/T} A_0 d\tau \right) = \exp \left( \frac{2\pi i}{N} \mathbf{k} \right) , \quad (4)$$

gives a nontrivial twist. This is also called holonomy, I believe.

The boundary conditions for the spatial components are *almost* those of the Dirac monopole. We divide a sphere into an upper and a lower hemisphere, with gauge potentials on each,  $A^\pm$ . Then

$$A_\phi^\pm = \frac{1}{2Nr} \mathbf{m} \frac{(\pm \mathbf{1} - \cos \theta)}{\sin \theta} . \quad (5)$$

To see this is a  $Z(N)$  monopole, compute the the Wilson line for a special closed path,  $\vec{s}$ . With  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  polar angles,  $\hat{z} = \cos \theta$ , take a path at constant  $\theta$ , wrapping around by  $2\pi$  in  $\phi$ , so that  $\vec{A} \cdot d\vec{s} = A_\phi r d\phi$ . This can be done at any  $\theta \neq 0, \pi$ . Since the vector potential is specified by two patches, we compute the following quantity. First, take the Wilson line with  $A^+$ , going around by  $2\pi$  in  $\phi$ ; then, take the Wilson line with  $A^-$ , running in the opposite direction:

$$\exp \left( i \oint \vec{A}^+ \cdot d\vec{s} \right) \left( \exp \left( i \oint \vec{A}^- \cdot d\vec{s} \right) \right)^\dagger = \exp \left( \frac{2\pi i}{N} \mathbf{m} \right) . \quad (6)$$

This is manifestly gauge invariant, and  $= 1$  if the configuration is trivial,  $A^+ = A^-$ . For the  $Z(N)$  monopole, instead one obtains a non-trivial element of  $Z(N)$ . For this to be true,  $\mathbf{m}$  must be one of the two matrices,  $\mathbf{c}_1$  or  $\mathbf{c}_2$ .

The above is basically a construction of a  $Z(N)$  Wu-Yang monopole. Notice that it has nothing to do with  $\pi_2(G/H)$ , only  $\pi_1(G/H)$ .

The above are the boundary conditions at spatial infinity,  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . At the origin,  $r = 0$ , we require all  $A_\mu$ 's to vanish, at least like  $\sim r^2$ , so that  $G_{\mu\nu} \sim r$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$ . We do not know what the general configuration looks like. Surely it is self-dual. In that case, for large  $r$ ,

$$A_0(r) = \frac{2\pi T}{N} \mathbf{k} - \frac{\mathbf{1}}{2N\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{m} + \dots \quad (7)$$

We assume that the configuration is static. In that case, it is easy to compute the topological charge:

$$Q = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int d^4x \partial_i \text{tr} (A_0 B_i) . \quad (8)$$

There are of course other terms in the topological charge, but we drop them, as they shouldn't contribute for a static configuration. In that case, using the above it is easy to compute the topological charge, and find

$$Q = \frac{1}{N^2} \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{k} . \quad (9)$$

A similar equation was derived by 't Hooft in his Schlading lectures. We differ by a factor of  $1/N$ , but he didn't carefully define the normalization of his charges.

There are only two cases to consider. Either the  $Z(N)$  charges are the same, or they are different. If they are the same,  $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{k} = \mathbf{c}_1$ ,

$$Q = \frac{N-1}{N} . \quad (10)$$

If they charges are different, such as  $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{c}_1$  and  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{c}_2$ , then

$$Q = -\frac{1}{N} . \quad (11)$$

You assert that there should be no such solution which satisfies the Yang-Mills equations of motion with the above boundary conditions. We don't see why not. The existence of nontrivial  $Z(N)$  charges would seem to guarantee that you can't get rid of such a knot, having formed it. Note that it is crucial to have both electric and magnetic  $Z(N)$  charges. The only scale possible for the configuration is the temperature,  $T$ , but that is fine.

The configuration is stable under dilatations. Under  $\vec{r} \rightarrow \lambda \vec{r}$ , let  $A_i \rightarrow A_i/\lambda$ . In contrast,  $A_0$  does *not* scale under dilatations,  $A_0 \rightarrow A_0$ , since its scale is fixed to the temperature. Then the action scales as

$$\int d^3x \operatorname{tr} (\vec{E}^2 + \vec{B}^2) \rightarrow \lambda \int d^3x \operatorname{tr} (\vec{E}^2) + \frac{1}{\lambda} \int d^3x \operatorname{tr} (\vec{B}^2) . \quad (12)$$

I only integrate over the three spatial directions, since the configuration is assumed to be static. Requiring that this is stationary with respect to  $\lambda$  just fixes  $\lambda = 1$ ; *i.e.*, the configuration is self-dual,  $\vec{E} = \pm \vec{B}$ .

If such a configuration exists, then it is self-dual only over distances  $\sim 1/T$ . Over larger distances, it is not self-dual. This is because static electric fields are screened at one loop order, but static magnetic fields are not. Using the Debye mass  $m_D^2 = N(gT)^2/3$ , one finds a correction  $\sim 1/g$  to the action:

$$\frac{8\pi^2}{g^2 N} \left( -1 + \frac{(N-1)}{8\pi} \sqrt{\frac{g^2 N}{3}} \right) . \quad (13)$$

The computation is simple, but takes more to explain, so I just give the answer. This shows that if instantons are made up of  $Z(N)$  dyons, then the dyons have

relatively strong interactions; they are not  $\sim g^0$ , as for instantons, but  $\sim 1/g$ . This probably means that a dilute gas approximation is not so good for dyons, although the numerical value of the number on the right hand side is amusingly small for a momentum scale of order 1 GeV.

What does worry me is that the corrections to the action are of order  $N$  at large  $N$ , keeping  $g^2 N$  fixed as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .